



ANSI E1.47 – 2020
**Entertainment Technology—Recommended Guidelines for Entertainment
Rigging System Inspections**

Approved by the ANSI Board of Standards Review on 18 June 2020

Rig/2014-2003r9

©2020 Entertainment Services and Technology Association (ESTA)
All rights reserved.



NOTICE and DISCLAIMER

ESTA does not approve, inspect, or certify any installations, procedures, equipment or materials for compliance with codes, recommended practices or standards. Compliance with an ESTA standard or an American National Standard developed by ESTA is the sole and exclusive responsibility of the manufacturer or provider and is entirely within their control and discretion. Any markings, identification or other claims of compliance do not constitute certification or approval of any type or nature whatsoever by ESTA.

ESTA neither guarantees nor warrants the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damage or injury of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on this document. In issuing and distributing this document.

In issuing this document, ESTA does not either (a) undertake to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, or (b) undertake any duty to any person or entity with respect to this document or its contents. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstance.

Published by:

Entertainment Services and Technology Association
ESTA
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609
New York, NY 10036
USA
Phone: 1-212-244-1505
Fax: 1-212-244-1502
Email: standards@esta.org

**The Entertainment Services and Technology Association's
Technical Standards Program**

The ESTA Technical Standards Program was created to serve the ESTA membership and the entertainment industry in technical standards related matters. The goal of the Program is to take a leading role regarding technology within the entertainment industry by creating recommended practices and standards, monitoring standards issues around the world on behalf of our members, and improving communications and safety within the industry. ESTA works closely with the technical standards efforts of other organizations within our industry, including USITT and VPLT, as well as representing the interests of ESTA members to ANSI, UL, and the NFPA. The Technical Standards Program is accredited by the American National Standards Institute.

The Technical Standards Council (TSC) was established to oversee and coordinate the Technical Standards Program. Made up of individuals experienced in standards-making work from throughout our industry, the Council approves all projects undertaken and assigns them to the appropriate working group. The Technical Standards Council employs a Technical Standards Manager to coordinate the work of the Council and its working groups as well as maintain a “Standards Watch” on behalf of members. Working groups include: Control Protocols, Electrical Power, Event Safety, Floors, Fog and Smoke, Followspot Position, Photometrics, Rigging, and Stage Lifts.

ESTA encourages active participation in the Technical Standards Program. There are several ways to become involved. If you would like to become a member of an existing working group, as have over four hundred people, complete an application which is available from http://tsp.esta.org/tsp/documents/procedural_docs.html. Your application is subject to approval by the working group and you will be required to actively participate in the work of the group. This includes responding to letter ballots and attending meetings. Membership in ESTA is not a requirement. You can also become involved by requesting that the TSC develop a standard or a recommended practice in an area of concern to you.

The Rigging Working Group, which authored this Standard, consists of a cross section of entertainment industry professionals representing a diversity of interests. ESTA is committed to developing consensus-based standards and recommended practices in an open setting.

Investors in Innovation

The Technical Standard Program (TSP) is financially supported by ESTA and by companies and individuals who make undirected donations to the TSP. Contributing companies and individuals who have helped fund the TSP are recognized as “Investors in Innovation”. The Investors in Innovation when this standard was approved by ANSI's Board of Standards Review include these companies and individuals:

VISIONARY LEADERS (\$50,000 & up)

ETC

PLASA

ProSight Specialty Insurance

VISIONARY (\$10,000 & up; >100 employees/members)

Chauvet Professional

Cisco

Columbus McKinnon Entertainment Technology

Robe

Disney Parks Live Entertainment

VISIONARY (\$5,000 & up; 20–100 employees/members)

Altman Lighting, Inc.

German Light Products

JR Clancy

McLaren Engineering Group

Rose Brand

Stage Rigging

Theatre Projects

Theatre Safety Programs

TMB

VISIONARY (\$500 & up; <20 employees/members)

About the Stage

B-Hive Industries, Inc.

Scott Blair

Boston Illumination Group

Candela Controls, Inc.

Clark Reder Engineering

Tracey Cosgrove & Mark McKinney

Doug Fleenor Design

EGI Event Production Services

Entertainment Project Services

Neil Huff

Interactive Technologies

Jules Lauve

Brian Lawlor

Michael Lay

Limelight Productions, Inc.

Link

John T. McGraw

Mike Garl Consulting

Mike Wood Consulting

Reed Rigging

Reliable Design Services

Alan Rowe

Sapsis Rigging Inc.

Dana Taylor

Steve Terry

Vertigo

Steve A. Walker & Associates

WNP Services

INVESTOR (\$3,000–\$9,999; >100 employees/members)

Actors' Equity Association

Barbizon Lighting Company

Golden Sea Professional Lighting Provider

IATSE Local 728

IATSE Local 891

Lex

NAMM

Rosco Laboratories

Texas Scenic Company

INVESTOR (\$1,500–\$4,999; 20–100 employees/members)

American Society of Theatre Consultants

BMI Supply

City Theatrical Inc.

H&H Specialties, Inc.

InterAmerica Stage, Inc.

Lycian Stage Lighting

Morpheus Lights

Niscon Inc.

INVESTOR (\$200–\$499; <20 employees/members)

Bruce Darden

Guangzhou Color Imagination LED Lighting

Kenney Drapery Associates, Inc.

Indianapolis Stage Sales & Rentals, Inc.

L1 Inc.

Lighting Infusion LLC

Scott Madaski

Mediam Sp. zo.o.

Nanyi Audio & Lighting Enterprise Co., Ltd.

Qdot Lighting Ltd.

Show Light Oy

SUPPORTER (\$50 - \$2,999; >100 employees/members)
Harlequin Floors

SUPPORTER (\$50 - \$1,499; 20–100 employees/members)
ACT Lighting Inc./AC Power Distribution
ARM Automation, Inc.
Ian Foulds, IATSE Local 873
General Lighting Electronic Co. Ltd.
Guangzhou YaFeng Optoelectronic Equipment Co.
Guangzhou Yilaiming Photoelectric Technology Co., Ltd.
HAYA Light Equipment Ltd. Co.
High Output
InCord
Intella Systems Co., Ltd.
iWeiss
LA ProPoint, Inc.
Moss LED Inc.

Movocat GmbH
Nanshi Lighting
Oasis Stage Werks
Shenzhen Ifountain Technology
Skjonberg Controls Inc.
Stage Equipment & Lighting
Stagemaker
Stageworks
Syracuse Scenery and Stage Lighting Co., Inc.
Taurus Light Co. Ltd.
Ultratec Special Effects
Vincent Lighting Systems
Zhuhai Shengchang Electronics Co.

SUPPORTER (\$50 - \$199; <20 employees/members)
Capture Visualisation AB
Clik Systems
DMX Pro Sales
Foshan Leiyuan Photoelectric Co. Ltd.
Jack Gallagher
Tony Giovannetti
Pat Grenfell
Beverly and Tom Inglesby
Eddie Kramer
Jason Kyle

LuxBalance Lighting
Tyrone Mellon, Jr.
Orange Pi DMX
Lizz Pittsley
Michael Skinner
Studio T+L
Terrier Marketing
Stephen Vanciel
Arjan van Vught

Planned Giving donor: Ken Vannice

All donations to the Technical Standards Program support the TSP in general, and are not directed to, or for, the benefit of any particular technical standard project, or any Working Group working on any particular standard or project.

Contact Information**Technical Standards Manager**

Karl G. Ruling
ESTA
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609
New York, NY 10036
USA
+1-212-244-1505
karl.ruling@esta.org

Assistant Technical Standards Manager

Richard J. Nix
ESTA
630 Ninth Avenue, Suite 609
New York, NY 10036
USA
+1-212-244-1505
richard.nix@esta.org

Technical Standards Council Chairpersons

Mike Garl
Mike Garl Consulting LLC
+1-865-389-4371
mike@mikegarlconsulting.com

Mike Wood
Mike Wood Consulting LLC
+1-512-288-4916
mike@mikewoodconsulting.com

Rigging Working Group Co-chairpersons

Bill Sapsis
Sapsis Rigging
+1-215-228-0888 x206
bill@sapsis-rigging.com

Christine Kaiser
Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc
+1-315-453-8096
ckaiser@syracusescenery.com

Acknowledgments

The Rigging Working Group members when this document was approved by the working group on 26 February 2020 are shown below.

Voting members:

Jacob Abbott; PSAV Presentation Services; U
 Jesse Adams; Rose Brand Wipers, Inc; DR
 Tracie Allen; T6 Truss Designs. LLC; CP
 Dana Bartholomew; Silver State Wire Rope and Rigging; DR
 Peter W. Batt; Mainstage Theatrical Supply, Inc; DR
 Drew Becker; InterAmerica Stage, Inc.; CP
 Ian Bevan; Walt Disney Company; DE
 Keith Bohn; Area Four Industries; MP
 David Bond; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP
 Bennett Brian; Reed Rigging Inc.; DR
 Paul Brunner; Thern, Inc.; MP
 David Carmack; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP
 Frederic Caron; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc; U
 Joseph Champelli; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP
 Lee Chuong; Walt Disney Company; DE
 Jay Cid; R&M Materials Handling / Stagemaker; MP
 Dan Culhane; Wenger Corp.; CP
 Bruce Darden; InterAmerica Stage, Inc.; CP
 Jonathan Deull; JSD Projects LLC; U
 Tony Diemont; Texas Scenic Company; DR
 Don Earl; Earl Girls, Inc.; DR
 Nick Fleming; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP
 Joshua "Fritz" Friedensohn; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP
 Mike Garl; Mike Garl Consulting LLC; DE
 Ethan W Gilson; Entertainment Rigging Services, LLC; U
 Sanford P. Gilzow; Shur-Rig LLC; G
 William B. Gorlin; M.G. McLaren, P.C.; G
 Jerry Gorrell; Theatre Safety Programs; G
 Pat Grenfell; Hoist Sales & Service; DR
 Rod Haney; I.A.T.S.E. Local 891; U
 Tim Hansen; Oasis Stage Werks; DR
 Robert Haycock; UC Berkeley; U
 Jeremy Hodgson; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U
 Joseph Jeremy; Show Distribution Group, Inc.; CP
 Christine L. Kaiser; Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.; DR
 Theresa Kelley; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP
 Edwin S. Kramer; I.A.T.S.E. Local 1; U
 Ryan Kunkel; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP
 Kyle Kusmer; Steven Schaefer Associates, Inc; DE
 Tom Lapp; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U
 Kalen Larson; ZFX, Inc.; CP
 Ed Leahy; Chicago Flyhouse, Inc; CP
 Jon Lenard; Applied Electronics; MP
 Michael Lichter; Electronic Theatre Controls, Inc.; MP
 Ross Long; I.A.T.S.E. Local 891; U
 Daniel H. Louis; Theta Consulting LLC; G
 Bill McIntyre; Show Distribution Group, Inc.; CP
 Mike Merz; Steven Schaefer Associates, Inc; DE
 Sam Michael; Thern, Inc.; MP
 Orestes Mihaly; Production Resource Group; DR

Rick Montgomery; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP
 John P. Moore; Vertigo; CP
 Chris Moulton; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U
 Bartholomew J. Mueller; Recreation Engineering Inc.; DE
 Reid Neslage; H & H Specialties Inc.; MP
 Mark Newlin; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP
 Jim Niesel; Theatre Projects Consultants, Inc.; DE
 Richard J. Nix; Richard J. Nix; G
 Shawn Nolan; Production Resource Group; DR
 Tracy Nunnally; Vertigo; CP
 Carlos Ortega; PSAV Presentation Services; U
 Matt Panther; Reliable Design Services; CP
 Miriam Paschetto; Geiger Engineers; DE
 Demian Purdy; PSAV Presentation Services; U
 Steven Ricks; Electronic Theatre Controls, Inc.; MP
 John Ringelman; Freeman Companies; DR
 Ryan Riordan; Accurate Staging, Inc.; CP
 Ryan Roby; PRG; DR
 Eric Rouse; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP
 Bill Sapsis; Sapsis Rigging, Inc.; U
 Chris Schmidt; Freeman Companies; DR
 Steven Serafin; The Hartford Group; G
 Michael Shaw; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP
 Harold Ike Shippers; Syracuse Scenery & Stage Lighting Co., Inc.; DR
 Mike Skinner; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U
 Russell Solomon; Texas Scenic Company; DR
 Stewart Stephens; Ashford Management Group; DR
 Peter V. Svitavsky; Wenger Corp.; CP
 Jason Tello; T6 Truss Designs. LLC; CP
 Ken Tilson; Columbus McKinnon Corp.; MP
 Will Todd; Area Four Industries; MP
 Elmer Veith; Reliable Design Services; CP
 Steve Walker; Steve A. Walker & Associates; G
 Mike Webb; Motion Laboratories, Inc.; MP
 Michael Wells; Xtreme Structures and Fabrication; MP
 Marty Wesstrom; Mountain Productions Inc.; DR
 Jeff Wilkowski; Thern, Inc.; MP
 R. Duane Wilson; Amer. Society of Theatre Consultants; DE
 Max A. Wilson; Applied Electronics; MP
 Allen Winzler; Clark-Reder Engineering, Inc.; DE
 Stephan Jon Wood; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP
 Paul Zagajeski; Wenger Corp.; CP

Observer (non-voting) members:

Matthew Antonucci; Contract Services Administration Trust Fund; U
 Brent Armstrong; Alpine Rigging & Structural Design; CP
 William Ian Auld; Auld Entertainment; U
 Robert Barbagallo; Solotech Inc.; DR
 William Beautyman; Limelight Productions, Inc.; DR
 Justin Bennett; University of the Incarnate Word; U
 Roy Bickel; Roy Bickel; G
 Tyler Bland; Walt Disney Company; U
 William Bradburn; Aerial Arts, Inc.; U
 David M. Campbell; Geiger Engineers; G
 Daniel J. Clark; Clark-Reder Engineering, Inc.; G
 Benjamin Cohen; Chicago Flyhouse Inc.; CP

Brandon Cox; Heavyweight Solutions; CP
 David Darland; PSAV Presentation Services; U
 Robert Dean; ZFX, Inc.; CP
 Jim Digby; Event Safety Alliance; U
 Brad Dittmer; Stage Labor of the Ozarks; U
 Russ Dusek; I Weiss; MP
 Jennifer Ferguson; Kito Group; MP
 Tim Franklin; Theta Consulting LLC; G
 James M. Garner; Walt Disney Company; G
 Michael P. Gosenski; Rigging Systems LLC; U
 Phillip R. Hampton; Charcoalblue; DE
 Pete Happe; Pete Happe; G
 Sean Harding; Port Lighting Systems; G
 Chris Higgs; Total Solutions Group; G
 Nick Holmberg; PSAV; DR
 Donald Hoffend_III; Avista Designs, LLC; G
 Cedric Jackson; Screen Actors Guild - American Federation of Television & Radio Artists; G
 Ted Jones; Grand Stage; U
 Jerald Kraft; JTH Lighting Alliance; CP
 Phillip Lewis; Tait Towers Manufacturing LLC; CP
 Paul “Luke” Lewkowicz; Paul E Lewkowicz PC; DE
 Jacob E. Loretto; Musson Theatrical Inc.; DR
 Wendy Manson; Cirque Du Soleil, Inc.; U
 Steven Michelman; Entertainment Project Services, LLC; CP
 John Neal; Rhino Staging; G
 Gregory Orth; WNP Services, Inc.; DR
 Kurt Pragman; Pragman Associates, LLC; G
 Mark Riddlesperger; LA ProPoint, Inc.; CP
 Tim Salamon; PSAV Presentation Services; DR
 Peter A. Scheu; Scheu Consulting Services, Inc.; DE
 Ford Sellers; Chauvet Lighting; MP
 Monica Skjonberg; Skjonberg Controls, Inc.; CP
 Knut Skjonberg; Skjonberg Controls, Inc.; CP
 John C. Snook; Thermotex Industries Inc.; CP
 Lynn M. Snook; Thermotex Industries Inc.; CP
 Todd Spencer; PSAV Presentation Services; U
 Ross Taylor; Taylor & Taylor Associates; G
 Stephen Vanciel; IATSE Local 631; U
 Patrick Wallace; PSAV Presentation Services; U
 Charlie Weiner; Eurotruss; MP
 Drew Wending; PSAV Presentation Services; DR
 Mike Weston; PSAV Presentation Services; DR
 Greg Williams; PSAV Presentation Services; G
 Jeong Sik Yoo; Ghost LX; DE
 Andrew Young; Andrew Young; U

Interest category codes:

CP = custom-market producer	DE = designer
DR = dealer rental company	G = general interest
MP = mass-market producer	U = user

Table of contents

NOTICE and DISCLAIMER.....	i
Investors in Innovation.....	iii
Contact Information.....	iv
Acknowledgments.....	v
Table of contents.....	vi
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Scope of this document.....	1
2.1 General.....	1
2.2 Exclusions.....	1
3 Definitions.....	1
4 Reference information.....	2
5 Qualifications of the inspector.....	2
5.1 Work experience and certifications.....	2
5.2 Physical qualifications.....	2
6 Ethics and responsibilities.....	3
7 Frequency and scope of inspections.....	3
7.1 General.....	3
7.2 Frequency of inspections.....	3
7.3 Scope of inspections.....	4
7.4 Inspection service variations.....	7
8 Arrangements prior to the inspection.....	7
8.1 General arrangements.....	7
8.2 Considerations for level two access.....	8
9 Site conditions during inspection.....	8
10 Rigging inspection report.....	8

1 Introduction

Entertainment rigging systems are systems used to move, lift or support scenery, luminaires, and other equipment in entertainment venues, such as theatres, video/film studios, amphitheatres, and arenas used for live performances or special events.

Routine inspection of entertainment rigging systems is required in order to provide a safe working environment and to comply with ANSI rigging standards. This document offers guidance to inform owners, users and inspectors about the process of inspecting entertainment rigging systems. ESTA has written this recommended practice to promote proper inspection of entertainment rigging systems, to enhance safety of system users and audiences, to enhance the longevity and performance of systems and identification of potential equipment problems, to assist in regulatory compliance, and to reduce liability associated with the operation of entertainment rigging systems.

The purpose of an entertainment rigging inspection is to provide information about the condition of the systems and components at the time of the inspection. Nothing should be inferred regarding the future performance of the system as a result of this inspection.

2 Scope of this document

This document covers the inspection of entertainment rigging systems. Rigging systems may be statically suspended (stationary) (dead hung) equipment, manually operated counterweight sets, manually operated hoist sets, rope and sandbag (hemp) sets, and electric hoist sets (including winding drum hoists, packaged hoists, powered counterweight sets). The document includes inspection of fire safety curtain systems, rigging only. Rigging systems frequently include combinations and variations of rigging types.

2.1 General

These guidelines include recommended inspector qualifications and responsibilities, scope and frequency of inspections, content of the rigging inspection report, and related information concerning the inspection process.

2.2 Exclusions

These guidelines do not pertain to the process of inspecting:

2.2.1 Performer flying rigging systems.

2.2.2 The building structure.

2.2.3 The building electrical infrastructure.

3 Definitions

Definitions contained in this section apply to this guideline. Where terms are not defined in this section, they should be defined using their ordinarily accepted dictionary meanings within the specific context of their use.

3.1 Inspector: The person engaging in the examination of entertainment rigging systems.

3.2 Lifting media: The load carrying element that is attached to the counterweight carriage or is driven by the hoist to move the load (e.g. wire rope, roller chain).

3.3 Limits of use: The parameters under which the system is designed to operate (e.g. working load limit, speed of movement, duty cycle, environmental conditions, user skill level, availability of maintenance).

3.4 Owner: The legal entity which exercises control over management and recordkeeping functions relating to a building and/or facility in which activities covered by this document take place.

3.5 Qualified person: A person who, by possession of a recognized degree or certificate of professional standing, or who, by extensive knowledge, training, and experience, has successfully demonstrated the ability to solve or resolve problems relating to the subject matter and work.

3.6 User: Any person who operates the installed rigging equipment.

3.7 Working load limit: The maximum load the user may apply under normal operating conditions.

4 Reference information

The following are codes, regulations and standards typically used in the entertainment industry. This listing is provided as a basis of knowledge for the inspector and as background information for owners and users. Rigging inspections should be performed referencing the edition current at the time of the inspection and the edition referenced should be noted in the inspection report.

Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 Section 5 – Duties

American National Standard E1.2 Entertainment Technology - Design, Manufacture and Use of Aluminum Trusses and Towers

American National Standard E1.4 Entertainment Technology - Manual Counterweight Rigging Systems

American National Standard E1.6-1 Entertainment Technology - Powered Hoist Systems

American National Standard E1.22 Entertainment Technology - Fire Safety Curtain Systems

American National Standard Z359 - Safety Requirements for Personal Fall Arrest Systems, Subsystems and Components

NFPA 80: Standard for Fire Doors and Other Opening Protectives

NFPA 70: National Electrical Code

5 Qualifications of the inspector

5.1 Work experience and certifications

5.1.1 The inspector should have a minimum of 5 years or 10,000 hours of experience including a combination of entertainment rigging systems design, engineering, inspection, installation, maintenance, service, repair, modification, and functional testing. Typically, experience only in system operation will not provide suitable experience to inspect entertainment rigging systems.

5.1.2 This experience should provide a working knowledge of how to identify deficiencies in mechanical, structural, and electrical systems, and components of entertainment rigging systems.

5.1.3 This experience should provide familiarity with prevailing regulations and standards related to entertainment rigging systems.

5.1.4 This experience should provide a working knowledge of how to identify deficiencies in the specific type of rigging system to be inspected (e.g. counterweight, package hoist, motorized hoist and drum, line shaft hoist).

5.1.5 This experience should include manufacturer's training, if available, in the specific rigging system to be inspected. If the manufacturer offers certification, the inspector should have received manufacturer certification for the specific system.

5.1.6 This experience should include third-party certification in the applicable discipline.

5.2 Physical qualifications

5.2.1 The inspector should have sufficient strength, endurance, agility, coordination, manual dexterity and speed of reaction to meet the demands of the work.

5.2.2 The inspector should be capable of working at heights in a safe manner.

6 Ethics and responsibilities

6.1 The inspector should be honest and impartial.

6.2 The inspector's qualifications and credentials should be accurately represented. Reports should be objective and truthful, both with regard to the findings, and the extent of inspections conducted.

6.3 The inspector should act in the interest of the safety, health, and welfare of the owners and users of the facilities inspected.

6.4 The inspector should perform services only in the areas of the inspector's competence.

6.5 The inspector should comply with all regulations and requirements of the jurisdiction where the inspector conducts business.

6.6 The inspector should continue professional development throughout the inspector's career.

6.7 Where appropriate, the inspector should avoid conflicts of interest or the appearance of conflicts of interest.

6.8 The inspector should not accept or offer any undisclosed commissions, rebates, profits, or other benefit from any parties having an interest in the venue being inspected.

7 Frequency and scope of inspections

7.1 General

The frequency and scope of inspections should be as determined by the applicable standards, regulations and manufacturer's recommendations.

7.2 Frequency of inspections

Unless applicable standards, regulations, or manufacturer's recommendations require more frequent inspections, the following FREQUENCY OF INSPECTIONS chart provides types and frequency of inspection for various types of equipment. Category levels are defined in the section following the chart.

FREQUENCY OF INSPECTIONS CHART

Equipment Type	Level One Inspection Frequency	Level Two Inspection Frequency
Manually Operated Equipment	Annually	Immediately after equipment or components have been newly installed, altered, or repaired.
		No less than every 5 years or as determined by a qualified person.
		When the last date of inspection is unknown.
Fire Safety Curtain (manually operated)	Annually	Immediately after equipment or components have been newly installed, altered, or repaired.
		No less than every 5 years or as determined by a qualified person.
		When the last date of inspection is unknown.
Motorized Equipment (including motorized Fire Safety Curtain)	Not Applicable	Immediately after equipment or components have been newly installed, altered, or repaired.
		Annually.
		When the last date of inspection is unknown.
Statically Suspended Rigging Equipment	Not Applicable	Immediately after equipment or components have been newly installed, altered, or repaired, and one year after installation.
		No less frequently than every 5 years, or more frequently as determined by a qualified person.
		When the last date of inspection is unknown.

7.3 Scope of inspections**7.3.1 Level one inspection**

Level one inspection is performed from accessible positions (rigging galleries, catwalks, facility ladders and gridirons) or the stage floor where no accessible positions exist. Unless otherwise noted below, all accessible components of all sets, including lifting media, are to be inspected.

7.3.1.1 General level one requirements

The following requirements apply to all level one inspections:

7.3.1.1.1 Verify that operations manuals are available and accessible to system users.

7.3.1.1.2 Verify that operational and warning signage is in place.

7.3.1.1.3 Inspect components for proper installation, damage, loose or missing hardware visible from accessible positions.

7.3.1.1.4 Visually inspect welds for cracks and other visible defects.

7.3.1.1.5 Verify that shackles, turnbuckles, and other rigging hardware are of the appropriate material and grade, and are secured to resist loosening.

7.3.1.1.6 Verify that the working load limits of the rigging systems are known and visible to the operators.

7.3.1.1.7 Verify that documentation exists for identification of trained users and training dates.

7.3.1.1.8 Verify that a maintenance log is in use and up to date.

7.3.1.2 Additional requirements for inspection of manually operated equipment:

7.3.1.2.1 For manual counterweight systems, verify that each line set is in balance within 50 pounds.

7.3.1.2.2 For systems incorporating counterweight carriages/arbor, verify that the counterweights required to counterbalance the pipe/base (permanent load) are painted or otherwise visually distinct.

7.3.1.2.3 For systems incorporating counterweight carriages/arbor, verify that arbor rod spreader plates and locking collars are used properly, spreader plates are properly located in weight stack, and spreader plate location labels/markings are present.

7.3.1.2.4 For systems incorporating rope locks, verify that rope locks are functional and properly adjusted and rope lock jaws are not excessively worn.

7.3.1.2.5 Verify that operating lines are appropriate material, properly terminated, and in proper operating condition.

7.3.1.2.6 For systems incorporating floor blocks, verify that floor blocks are properly adjusted and attached.

7.3.1.2.7 Verify that spare counterweight is appropriately located and stored and does not constitute a hazard.

7.3.1.2.8 Inspect condition and attachment of any locking rails, pin rails, and arbor stops for signs of damage.

7.3.1.3 Additional requirements for inspection of fire safety curtain system

7.3.1.3.1 Perform all types and methods of manual and emergency release operation.

7.3.1.3.2 Verify that the rate of curtain descent is within the time allowed by applicable regulations or standards.

7.3.1.3.3 Inspect fire safety curtain rigging per 7.3.2.1 and 7.3.2.2 as applicable to system.

7.3.1.3.4 Inspect condition of the dashpot or other deceleration device for leaking fluid or other signs of wear or damage.

7.3.1.3.5 Inspect the condition of all manual and automatic release lines, release mechanisms, thermal link attachments and related hardware for wear or damage.

7.3.1.3.6 Verify that signage, tags, and certificates are present and properly located.

7.3.1.3.7 Retrain the owner and staff in the operation of the fire safety curtain system.

7.3.1.4 Additional requirements for inspection of flexible lifting media

7.3.1.4.1 Inspect samples of lifting media termination hardware, no less than 20% per set, for the correct type and installation.

7.3.1.4.2 Inspect the lifting media at all terminations for excessive wear and damage.

7.3.1.4.3 Verify that all blocks are securely attached to the structure, facing the correct directions, and seated against the structure.

7.3.1.4.4 Verify that sheave material does not show signs of excessive wear, cracks, or chips.

7.3.1.4.5 Verify that all equipment operates smoothly throughout the available range of travel and without unusual friction, noise, or motions.

7.3.1.4.6 Verify that fleet angles are within acceptable tolerances and lifting media does not make unintended contact with any obstructions.

7.3.1.4.7 Verify that guards, if required, are existing and in good condition.

7.3.2 Level two inspection

Level two inspection is performed by gaining access, typically using ladders, scaffolding, or personnel lifts, to all rigging components. All components of all sets, including lifting media, are to be inspected.

7.3.2.1 General

Level two inspection includes all items listed under section 7.3.1 level one inspection including those requiring use of temporary equipment to gain access.

7.3.2.2 Additional requirements for level two inspection of motorized systems

7.3.2.2.1 Verify that the direction of movement is correctly labeled on the controls.

7.3.2.2.2 Test the emergency stop(s). Verify that the emergency stop(s) disconnect power to the motor and not only to the control circuit.

7.3.2.2.3 Visually inspect the condition of controller cables, strain reliefs and junction boxes adjacent to rigging equipment.

7.3.2.2.4 Verify that all indicator displays are functional.

7.3.2.2.5 Verify that all fuses and disconnects are the correct size and type for the applications and for the machines.

7.3.2.2.6 Verify that indicators show the correct position of the load.

7.3.2.2.7 Verify that the brake(s) stop the machinery when the operator control device is released. Full load testing is not required.

7.3.2.2.8 Verify that the upper and lower normal travel limit switches are functional and set to avoid collision with surrounding objects.

7.3.2.2.9 Verify that moving elements are lubricated to comply with the manufacturer's limits of use or as determined by a qualified person. Covers and guards, designed to be removed, should be removed to inspect the state of lubrication. The condition and suitability of lubricants should be determined, based on the manufacturer's recommendations.

7.3.2.2.10 At the completion of the inspection reset any inspection reminder indicators according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

7.4 Inspection service variations

Concurrent with the inspection, inspectors may provide any additional services for which they are qualified. Additional services to be performed, or systems to be inspected should be added to the inspector's documented scope of work. Examples of additional services include preventative maintenance, inspection of tracks, curtains, and other equipment, and adjustment of limit switches.

8 Arrangements prior to the inspection

8.1 General arrangements

8.1.1 The process of an inspection should include acceptance of written documentation that specifies the scope of the inspection. It is important the parties involved in the inspection are aware of and agree to the extent of the work to be performed. This is important from the time the inspection is arranged to the time that the final report is issued.

8.1.2 The person to receive the rigging inspection report, and the designated person to receive notification of any imminent hazard(s) identified during the inspection should be determined.

8.1.3 The inspector should request and obtain any available documentation relating to the installed rigging systems for review before the time of on-site inspection. Documentation that would assist the inspector includes:

8.1.3.1 As-built drawings.

8.1.3.2 Operation manuals.

8.1.3.3 Technical information provided to potential users of the venue.

8.1.3.4 Known modifications to the installed systems.

8.1.3.5 Reports of any known issues or incidents.

8.1.3.6 Maintenance logs.

8.1.3.7 Previous rigging inspection reports.

8.1.3.8 Records of user training.

8.1.3.9 List of authorized system users.

8.1.4 A schedule including specific inspection date(s) and times should be arranged.

8.1.5 Since the inspector may require access to all areas where rigging equipment is located depending upon the level of inspection, no performances, rehearsals, sound checks, load-ins, load-outs, classes, or similar activities should be scheduled in the venue during the rigging inspection.

8.1.6 Arrangement should be made for access to equipment and work areas (e.g. backstage support spaces, electrical rooms, ladders, staircases, catwalks, galleries, gridirons, arbor pits, etc).

8.1.7 Arrangements should be made for turning on lights and any mechanical systems that may be required to allow the inspector to work in the spaces to be inspected.

8.1.8 Arrangements should be made to ensure that the noise level is suitable for performing the inspection.

8.1.9 Arrangements should be made for unlocking or providing required keys for rigging system operation (e.g motorized system control panels, rope lock padlocks).

8.1.10 Arrangements should be made for the work areas to be clear of unnecessary items of scenery, furnishings, and equipment.

8.2 Considerations for level two access

8.2.1 For equipment that cannot be inspected from safely accessible positions as is required for a level two inspection, arrangements should be made or coordinated to provide required access. The access equipment may include aerial work platforms, man-lifts, articulating boom lifts, or scaffolding.

8.2.2 Arrangements for access equipment should ensure that the floor surfaces have the structural capacity to support the loads of the equipment.

8.2.3 Fall protection safety and rescue plan, if required.

9 Site conditions during inspection

9.1 Any deficiency observed during the inspection determined by the inspector to be an immediate hazard to persons should be tagged or “locked-out” by the owner to prevent use of the equipment or system associated with the deficiency. The inspector should immediately inform the designated person of the deficiency and instruct the person that the equipment associated with the deficiency should not be operated.

9.2 Any condition observed during the inspection that alters the original scope of the inspection should be noted by the inspector in the rigging inspection report.

10 Rigging inspection report

10.1 A written rigging inspection report should be issued following the inspection.

10.2 At a minimum the rigging inspection report should include the following: name of the venue inspected; date(s) of the inspection; name and signature of the inspector or inspectors; name of the affiliated company or firm providing inspection services, if applicable; scope of work of the inspection performed. Any exclusions identified prior to the inspection, or discovered during the on-site inspection should be noted specifically.

10.3 The rigging inspection report could also include: description of the systems inspected, and if known, or identifiable; age of the venue; system installation dates; manufacturer of major system components; identification of the installing company; major or minor renovations to the systems; and modifications to the installed systems by the owner or system users.

10.4 Fire safety curtain testing and training should be included in the rigging report as required by applicable regulations and standards.

10.5 At a minimum the rigging inspection report should identify the standards and other guidelines used by the inspector as the basis for evaluation of the installed rigging systems. These may include relevant American National Standards, NFPA standards, OSHA laws, manufacturers recommended practices, operation and maintenance manuals, prevailing building codes, standard industry practices, and common sense.

10.6 The nature and location of observed deficiencies should be included in the report. The deficiencies should be prioritized. Prioritization categories should be defined in the rigging inspection report.

10.7 The report should include a recommendation for possible remedy of each deficiency. The report should also recommend qualifications for the personnel that may be required to perform the recommendation (e.g. professional engineer, rigging equipment contractor, or venue staff).

10.8 The report might include recommendations for one-time or routine testing of components or systems.

10.9 The report should include suggested timing for future inspections and might include recommendations for more in-depth inspection.

10.10 Other supplementary information and documentation that could be included in the report includes:

10.10.1 Generic or specific system illustration(s).

10.10.2 Glossary of terms used to describe the system(s) and operation.

10.10.3 Digital images for clarification.

10.10.4 Sample maintenance log.

10.10.5 Educational resources such as books, trusted websites, and training programs.